UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

WILLIAM SCALES,

Plaintiff,

-against-

NEW YORK POLICE DEPARTMENT,

Defendant.

23-CV-9116 (LTS)
ORDER TO AMEND

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN, Chief United States District Judge:

Plaintiff, who is appearing *pro se*, brings this action alleging that Defendant violated his human and constitutional rights. Because Plaintiff names as Defendant the New York Police Department ("NYPD"), the Court construes the complaint as asserting a constitutional claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. By order dated October 30, 2023, the Court granted Plaintiff's request to proceed without prepayment of fees, that is, *in forma pauperis* ("IFP"). For the reasons set forth below, the Court grants Plaintiff leave to file an amended complaint within 60 days of the date of this order.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

The Court must dismiss an IFP complaint, or any portion of the complaint, that is frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B); see Livingston v. Adirondack Beverage Co., 141 F.3d 434, 437 (2d Cir. 1998). The Court must also dismiss a complaint when the Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction of the claims raised. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(h)(3).

While the law mandates dismissal on any of these grounds, the Court is obliged to construe *pro se* pleadings liberally, *Harris v. Mills*, 572 F.3d 66, 72 (2d Cir. 2009), and interpret

them to raise the "strongest [claims] that they *suggest*," *Triestman v. Fed. Bureau of Prisons*, 470 F.3d 471, 474 (2d Cir. 2006) (internal quotation marks and citations omitted) (emphasis in original). But the "special solicitude" in *pro se* cases, *id.* at 475 (citation omitted), has its limits – to state a claim, *pro se* pleadings still must comply with Rule 8 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, which requires a complaint to make a short and plain statement showing that the pleader is entitled to relief.

Rule 8 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires a complaint to include enough facts to state a claim for relief "that is plausible on its face." *Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007). A claim is facially plausible if the plaintiff pleads enough factual detail to allow the Court to draw the inference that the defendant is liable for the alleged misconduct. In reviewing the complaint, the Court must accept all well-pleaded factual allegations as true. *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678-79 (2009). But it does not have to accept as true "[t]hreadbare recitals of the elements of a cause of action," which are essentially just legal conclusions. *Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 555. After separating legal conclusions from well-pleaded factual allegations, the Court must determine whether those facts make it plausible – not merely possible – that the pleader is entitled to relief. *Id*.

BACKGROUND

Plaintiff filed this complaint against the NYPD regarding an incident that occurred in August 2023, on East 112 Street in Manhattan. Plaintiff alleges the following:

My right wrist was injured during the arrest. A few months prior to the altercation I underwent surgery on my wrist for a ganglion cyst and the incident has made the cyst swollen again. I experienced intense pain during the ordeal and on/off pain months after the ordeal that lasts for intervals of minutes at a time. Emergency medical treatment was sought a day after the ordeal from city md urgent care facilities.

ECF 1 ¶ III.) Plaintiff seeks money damages. (*Id.* ¶ IV.)

DISCUSSION

A. New York Police Department

Plaintiff's claims against the NYPD must be dismissed because the NYPD lacks the capacity to be sued in the name of the agency. N.Y. City Charter ch. 17, § 396 ("[A]ll actions and proceedings for the recovery of penalties for the violation of any law shall be brought in the name of the city of New York and not in that of any agency, except where otherwise provided by law."); Jenkins v. City of New York, 478 F.3d 76, 93 n.19 (2d Cir. 2007); see also Emerson v. City of New York, 740 F. Supp. 2d 385, 396 (S.D.N.Y. 2010) ("[A] plaintiff is generally prohibited from suing a municipal agency.").

Moreover, there does not appear to be any proper basis for substituting the City of New York as a defendant in place of the NYPD. To assert a civil rights claim against the City of New York under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, it is not enough for the plaintiff to allege that one of the City of New York's employees or agents engaged in some wrongdoing. The plaintiff must show that the municipality itself caused the violation of the plaintiff's rights. See Connick v. Thompson, 563 U.S. 51, 60 (2011) ("A municipality or other local government may be liable under this section [1983] if the governmental body itself 'subjects' a person to a deprivation of rights or 'causes' a person 'to be subjected' to such deprivation.") (quoting Monell v. Dep't of Soc. Servs. Of City of New York, 436 U.S. 658, 692 (1978)); Cash v. Cnty. Of Erie, 654 F.3d 324, 333 (2d Cir. 2011). In other words, to state a section 1983 claim against a municipality, the plaintiff must allege facts showing (1) the existence of a municipal policy, custom, or practice, and (2) that the policy, custom, or practice caused the violation of the plaintiff's constitutional rights. See Jones v. Town of East Haven, 691 F.3d 72, 80 (2d Cir. 2012); Bd. Of Cnty. Comm'rs of Bryan Cnty. V. Brown, 520 U.S. 397, 403 (1997). The allegations of the complaint do not show that the City of New York, through its policies, customs, or practices, caused a violation of Plaintiff's rights, and

therefore there is no proper basis for substituting the City of New York as a defendant in place of the NYPD.

B. Excessive force

The Court construes the complaint as alleging that a police officer used excessive force against him during an arrest.

As an initial matter, Plaintiff has not named the individual police officer involved, even as a "John Doe" or "Jane Doe" defendant in this matter, or alleged what that officer did that violated Plaintiff's rights. To state a claim under section 1983, a plaintiff must allege facts showing the defendants' direct and personal involvement in the alleged constitutional deprivation. *See Spavone v. N.Y. State Dep't of Corr. Serv.*, 719 F.3d 127, 135 (2d Cir. 2013) ("It is well settled in this Circuit that personal involvement of defendants in the alleged constitutional deprivations is a prerequisite to an award of damages under § 1983.") (internal quotation marks omitted). A defendant may not be held liable under section 1983 solely because that defendant employs or supervises a person who violated the plaintiff's rights. *See Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 676 (2009) ("Government officials may not be held liable for the unconstitutional conduct of their subordinates under a theory of respondeat superior."). Rather, "[t]o hold a state official liable under § 1983, a plaintiff must plead and prove the elements of the underlying constitutional violation directly against the official" *Tangreti v. Bachmann*, 983 F.3d 609, 620 (2d Cir. 2020).

Plaintiff does not allege any facts regarding the officer involved in the alleged incident. Moreover, even if Plaintiff had named a "Doe" officer, he does not plead enough facts about this individual to enable the NYPD to identify the person. Finally, as explained below, the allegations in the complaint are insufficient to state a claim for a violation of Plaintiff's constitutional rights.

"[C]laims that law enforcement officers have used excessive force . . . in the course of an arrest, investigatory stop, or other 'seizure' of a free citizen should be analyzed under the Fourth Amendment and its 'reasonableness' standard." *Graham v. Connor*, 490 U.S. 386, 395 (1989). In evaluating an excessive force claim against a police officer under the Fourth Amendment, the central question is "whether the officers' actions are objectively reasonable in light of the facts and circumstances confronting them, without regard to their underlying intent or motivation." *Id.* at 396 (quotations omitted). The reasonableness of an officer's force depends on the totality of the circumstances and involves a "careful balancing of the nature and quality of the intrusion on the individual's Fourth Amendment interests' against the countervailing governmental interests at stake." *Id.* A plaintiff need not always sustain lasting injuries to state a claim for excessive force. *Graham v. City of New York*, 928 F. Supp. 2d 610, 618 (E.D.N.Y. 2013) ("Defendants are liable as long as the force used exceeded the force needed for the factual circumstances, and the fact that Plaintiff may not have sustained serious long lasting harm is not dispositive.").

Here, Plaintiff alleges that he sustained a wrist injury during an arrest, but he does not provide enough factual context going to the totality of the circumstances to allow the court to apply the reasonable standard under section 1983 for a violation of his right under the Fourth Amendment to be free from excessive force.

LEAVE TO AMEND

Plaintiff proceeds in this matter without the benefit of an attorney. District courts generally should grant a self-represented plaintiff an opportunity to amend a complaint to cure its defects, unless amendment would be futile. *See Hill v. Curcione*, 657 F.3d 116, 123-24 (2d Cir. 2011); *Salahuddin v. Cuomo*, 861 F.2d 40, 42 (2d Cir. 1988). Indeed, the Second Circuit has cautioned that district courts "should not dismiss [a *pro se* complaint] without granting leave to amend at least once when a liberal reading of the complaint gives any indication that a valid

claim might be stated." *Cuoco v. Moritsugu*, 222 F.3d 99, 112 (2d Cir. 2000) (quoting *Gomez v. USAA Fed. Sav. Bank*, 171 F.3d 794, 795 (2d Cir. 1999)). Because Plaintiff may be able to allege additional facts to state a valid claim of excessive force, the Court grants Plaintiff 60 days' leave to amend his complaint to detail his claims.

First, Plaintiff must name as the defendant(s) in the caption¹ and in the statement of claim those individuals who were allegedly involved in the deprivation of his federal rights. If Plaintiff does not know the name of a defendant, he may refer to that individual as "John Doe" or "Jane Doe" in both the caption and the body of the amended complaint.² The naming of John Doe defendants, however, does *not* toll the three-year statute of limitations period governing this action and Plaintiff shall be responsible for ascertaining the true identity of any "John Doe" defendants and amending his complaint to include the identity of any "John Doe" defendants before the statute of limitations period expires. Should Plaintiff seek to add a new claim or party after the statute of limitations period has expired, he must meet the requirements of Rule 15(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

In the "Statement of Claim" section of the amended complaint form, Plaintiff must provide a short and plain statement of the relevant facts supporting each claim against each defendant. If Plaintiff has an address for any named defendant, Plaintiff must provide it. Plaintiff should include all of the information in the amended complaint that Plaintiff wants the Court to

¹ The caption is located on the front page of the complaint. Each individual defendant must be named in the caption. Plaintiff may attach additional pages if there is not enough space to list all of the defendants in the caption. If Plaintiff needs to attach an additional page to list all defendants, he should write "see attached list" on the first page of the Amended Complaint. Any defendants named in the caption must also be discussed in Plaintiff's statement of claim.

² For example, a defendant may be identified as: "Police Officer John Doe #1 on duty August 31, 2023, at East 52nd Street, Manhattan, during the 7-3 p.m. shift."

consider in deciding whether the amended complaint states a claim for relief. That information should include:

- a) the names and titles of all relevant people;
- b) a description of all relevant events, including what each defendant did or failed to do, the approximate date and time of each event, and the general location where each event occurred;
- c) a description of the injuries Plaintiff suffered; and
- d) the relief Plaintiff seeks, such as money damages, injunctive relief, or declaratory relief.

Essentially, Plaintiff's amended complaint should tell the Court: who violated his federally protected rights and how; when and where such violations occurred; and why Plaintiff is entitled to relief.

Because Plaintiff's amended complaint will completely replace, not supplement, the original complaint, any facts or claims that Plaintiff wants to include from the original complaint must be repeated in the amended complaint.

CONCLUSION

Plaintiff is granted leave to file an amended complaint that complies with the standards set forth above. Plaintiff must submit the amended complaint to this Court's Pro Se Intake Unit within sixty days of the date of this order, caption the document as an "Amended Complaint," and label the document with docket number 23-CV-9116 (LTS). An Amended Complaint form is attached to this order. No summons will issue at this time. If Plaintiff fails to comply within the time allowed, and he cannot show good cause to excuse such failure, the complaint will be dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

The Court certifies under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from this order would

not be taken in good faith, and therefore IFP status is denied for the purpose of an appeal. Cf.

Coppedge v. United States, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962) (holding that an appellant demonstrates

good faith when he seeks review of a nonfrivolous issue).

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

December 7, 2023

New York, New York

/s/ Laura Taylor Swain

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN

Chief United States District Judge

8

			Civ	()	
(In the space ab		above enter the full name(s) of the plaintiff(s).)	AMENDED COMPLAINT		
		-against-			
			Jury Trial:	$\begin{tabular}{ll} \square Yes & \square No \\ & (check one) \end{tabular}$	
canno pleas additi listed	ot fit the fit write ional she	above enter the full name(s) of the defendant(s). If you names of all of the defendants in the space provided, "see attached" in the space above and attach an et of paper with the full list of names. The names bove caption must be identical to those contained in esses should not be included here.)			
I.	Part	Parties in this complaint:			
A.	ident	List your name, address and telephone number. If you are presently in custody, include your identification number and the name and address of your current place of confinement. Do the same for any additional plaintiffs named. Attach additional sheets of paper as necessary.			
Plain	ıtiff	Name			
		Street Address			
		County, City			
		County, CityState & Zip Code			

each defendant may be served. Make sure that the defendant(s) listed below are identical to those

contained in the above caption. Attach additional sheets of paper as necessary.

Defen	dant No. 1	Name					
		Street Address					
		County, City					
		State & Zip Code					
		Telephone Number					
Defen	dant No. 2	Name					
		Street Address					
		County, City					
		State & Zip Code					
		Telephone Number					
Defen	dant No. 3	Name					
		Street Address					
		County, City					
		State & Zip Code					
		Telephone Number					
Defen	dant No. 4	Name					
		Street Address					
		County, City					
		State & Zip Code					
		Telephone Number					
II.	Basis for Jun	isdiction:					
cases i U.S.C questic state a	involving a fed . § 1331, a ca on case. Under nd the amount	urts of limited jurisdiction. Only two types of cases can be heard in federal court: eral question and cases involving diversity of citizenship of the parties. Under 28 se involving the United States Constitution or federal laws or treaties is a federal r 28 U.S.C. § 1332, a case in which a citizen of one state sues a citizen of another in damages is more than \$75,000 is a diversity of citizenship case.					
A.	What is the b	asis for federal court jurisdiction? (check all that apply)					
	☐ Federal Q	uestions					
В.	If the basis for jurisdiction is Federal Question, what federal Constitutional, statutory or treaty right						
	is at issue?						
C.	If the basis fo	r jurisdiction is Diversity of Citizenship, what is the state of citizenship of each party?					
	Plaintiff(s) sta	ate(s) of citizenship					
		state(s) of citizenship					

III. Statement of Claim:

State as briefly as possible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how each of the defendants named in the caption of this complaint is involved in this action, along with the dates and locations of all relevant events. You may wish to include further details such as the names of other persons involved in the events giving rise to your claims. Do not cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to allege a number of related claims, number and set forth each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach additional sheets of paper as necessary.

	A. Where did the events giving rise to your claim(s) occur?			
	В.	What date and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim(s) occur?		
	 С.	Facts:		
What happened to you?				
Who did what?				
Was anyone else involved?				
Who else saw what happened?				
	IV.	Injuries:		
	If you treatm	sustained injuries related to the events alleged above, describe them and state what medical tent, if any, you required and received.		

V.	Relief:	
State	what you want the C	ourt to do for you and the amount of monetary compensation, if any, you are
seeki	ng, and the basis for	such compensation.
I dec	lare under penalty o	f perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
Signe	ed this day of	, 20
		Signature of Plaintiff
		Mailing Address
		Telephone Number
		Fax Number (if you have one)
Note		ed in the caption of the complaint must date and sign the complaint. Prisoners their inmate numbers, present place of confinement, and address.
For I	Prisoners:	
I dec this c the S	lare under penalty of pomplaint to prison autouthern District of No	perjury that on this day of, 20, I am delivering thorities to be mailed to the <i>Pro Se</i> Office of the United States District Court for ew York.
		Signature of Plaintiff:
		Inmate Number